

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/544,321	04/06/2000	Brad D. Guthrie	200-0522	7919	
32996	7590 06/09/2004		EXAMINER		
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON &			FISHER, MICHAEL J		
CITKOWSKI 280 N. OLD	I, PC WOODWARD AVE., STE. 400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	AM, MI 48009		3629		
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/544,321	GUTHRIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael J Fisher	3629 <i>UU</i>				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 D</u>	ecember 2003.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,—·					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 7,11-15 and 17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 7,11 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-15 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.	,				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 13,15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aycock.

As to claims 13 and 15 Aycock discloses a system of evaluating a supplier based on a supplier compliance level (claim 1) and purchasing materials from suppliers that meet these standards (abstract, lines 22-24). Aycock further discloses using standards (col 3, lines 5-13). Aycock does not, however, specifically teach obtaining a sample of the supplier's product, using a second material for the evaluation, determining the constituents of the material.

Aycock does teach assessing the supplier's product against standards (claim 13), which assessment includes existing vendor performance reports, which reports would inherently contain the quality of the material. As the properties of dies are important (such as hardness or brittleness which would comprise the material's "toughness") and as these properties are affected by the composition of the metal (more carbon makes a steel harder but more brittle, further, other alloy-ingredients affect these properties as well), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system as disclosed by Aycock by including the composition of the dies to ensure that the dies purchased are of the proper hardness.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/544,321

Art Unit: 3629

As to claim 17, as the composition of the die will affect its properties, it would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the presence and amount of the constituent materials as a guide for selecting a supplier.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7,11,12 are allowed.

Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: As to claims 7 and 14, the prior art, such as Aycock, does specify requiring standards in manufacturing. They do not, however, specify the specific materials necessary as claimed in claims 7 and 14, such as manganese, phosphorous, etc.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US PAT 5,737,581 to Keane, Keane discloses a system for

Page 3

quality assurance in suppliers, Keane not teach using the specified materials as a guide to quality assurance to choose a supplier, Japanese Patent JP406299298A to Kawai et al., Kawai et al. teach a composition for high speed tool steel, such as that used in dies, they do not however, teach all the specific components and further, do not teach using this as a guide on buying dies, Moh's Scale of mineral hardness, Moh's Scale is used to compare materials as to their hardness, Moh's Scale does not, however, teach this as being used to purchase materials and further, does not specify the components of a material as to its suitability for dies.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,321

Art Unit: 3629

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J Fisher whose telephone number is 703-306-5993. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 703-308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mil JOHN G. WEISS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600